## Delaware SOS VDA Program Webinar September 9<sup>th</sup>, 2025



#### **Today's Presenters**

Deputy Secretary of State/VDA Administrator

Regina Mitchell

#### **Deputy VDA Administrator**

• Ben Phillips

#### **VDA Vendors**

- Jim Doody, KDAC LLC
- Steve Herst, B&T LLP
- Geoff Sawyer, FDBR LLP

## Agenda

- Discussion Topics
  - SOS VDA Program Overview
  - Guideline Updates
  - Topic 1: Statistical Sampling
  - Topic 2: AR Procedures
  - Topic 3: Scoping
  - VDA Q&A

## Housekeeping

- This presentation is being recorded and will be posted to our website at <u>vda.delaware.gov</u>
- Put any questions into the Q&A box, not the Chat
- Additional questions can also be sent directly to sos.vda@delaware.gov
- This presentation does not constitute legal, financial, accounting, or any other type of advice; it is solely for educational purposes

#### Background

- Delaware's VDA program was established in 2012 to be a business friendly and efficient means of coming into compliance with Delaware's unclaimed property laws
- Goal is to increase unclaimed property filings and decrease the amount of unclaimed property exams
- The VDA program is not an audit, but rather a thorough self-review of the company's records to determine their historic unclaimed property liability
- As of September 2025, over 2,300 companies have enrolled in the VDA program and over 1,500 VDAs have been settled

- Enrollment
  - Delaware's VDA program sends invitations twice a year to companies that we believe could be good candidates for the VDA program
    - 90 days to respond
    - Don't need an invitation to enroll!
  - Enroll by submitting form VDA-1 to sos.vda@delaware.gov
    - Up-to-date form listed on website
    - Executed by officer of the company
    - Company enrolled is same as company invited
    - Countersigned by Secretary of State

- Kickoff
  - The company enrolled "holder" will be assigned to one of our state administrators:
    - B&T LLP, FDBR LLP, and KDAC LLC
  - State administrator will contact the holder regarding initial document requests
    - Scoping worksheet, NDA, general information request
  - Further process
    - Quantification of unclaimed property
    - Submission and validation
    - Closing process

- Hallmarks of VDA
  - Holder-driven process
  - More flexibility than an audit
  - Generally faster and less expensive than an audit
  - Confidentiality
  - Abatement of penalties and interest
  - Settlement agreement and indemnification

### Guidelines

- Updated VDA guidelines were posted on September 2<sup>nd</sup>
  - vda.delaware.gov/forms
- Updates considered feedback from holders, vendors, and advocates
- Sampling language

## **Topic 1: Statistical Sampling**

## Statistical Sampling

- Estimation Sampling
  - Estimation for period with incomplete records
  - Base Period
  - Full population v. Sample

## Statistical Sampling

- Sampling Former Guidelines
  - If the population in the base period is too large, a sample may be selected for research and remediation
  - The sample should be randomly selected and statistically valid
  - The standard used for determining a statistically valid sample is 90%
    Confidence Level and 10% Margin of Error

## Statistical Sampling

- Sampling *Revised* Guidelines
  - If the population in the base period is greater than 100 items, a statistical sample may be selected for research and remediation. The population shall be divided into strata ("stratified sampling") from which samples shall be drawn. For each stratum, a sample size shall be determined using generally accepted statistical principles such that the sample mean shall be within 10% of the population mean for that stratum at a 90% confidence interval. However, relaxed confidence and precision level intervals may be considered for purposes of efficiency if accuracy is not sacrificed on a material level. All deviations from the above sampling standards, including relaxed confidence and precision level intervals, must be discussed with and approved by the Administrator

## **Topic 2: Accounts Receivable**

- Identify:
  - Dormant Customer Credit Balances
  - Credit Transaction Write-Offs (i.e., credits removed/adjusted from customer accounts)
- Two Approved AR Review Methodologies "Safe Harbors"
  - Tracer Review and Write-off Accounts
  - Quarterly Aging Review

Tracer Review and Write-off Accounts

Goal: Identify AR credit balance write-offs in GL accounts

#### Process:

- 1. Identify the disposition account
- 2. Identify research population
- 3. Review more recent records for Delaware reportable property

#### Key Considerations:

- Focus on high-risk tracers
- Check with administrator after tracing about statistical sampling

Quarterly Aging Review

Goal: Identify AR credit balances in Trade AR

#### Process:

- 1. Review transaction-level aging reports; apply 90-day aging criteria
- 2. Review more recent records for Delaware reportable property

#### **Key Considerations:**

- Customers reviewed on a quarterly basis
- Check with administrator after compiling populations about statistical sampling

AR Review Procedures: Pros & Cons

#### Tracer/Write-offs Review:

#### Pros:

Tracer review can efficiently identify population

#### Cons:

- Process can be inefficient and burdensome
- Remediation is challenging

#### **Quarterly Aging Review:**

#### Pros:

- Efficient alternative to tracers.
  No need to review transactionlevel GL account detail
- Remediation worthwhile

#### Cons:

- Remediation time-consuming
- Quarterly review may require more source documentation

# **Topic 3: Scoping**

#### Objective

- Identify entities, property types, and years included in the review and in turn the liability release of waiver of audit (VDA-2)
- Entities, property types and years "scoped-in" at conclusion of the VDA will receive liability release and waiver of audit
- Entities, property types, and years not "scoped-in" at conclusion of VDA may be subject to referral to audit
- Reach general agreement on scope before any significant review is completed or final deliverables provided

- Records to review to determine scope
  - Entities
    - Organization chart at different points during the lookback period
    - Several years of tax returns
    - Need to account for all M&A activity for lookback period (potentially 15 years from year of enrollment)
  - Property Types
    - Knowledge of entire organization's business operations: does/did company issue: gift cards, rebates, mineral rights, royalty payments
    - Holder should review historic trial balances to identify potentially relevant accounts

- Records to review to determine scope
  - Record Availability/Researchability (lookback could be as far back as 15 transaction years)
    - Company-wide document retention policy
    - Identify what is available electronically, what is available on-site/off-site
    - Goal is to determine what periods the holder can research the final disposition of an item
  - Estimated Liability
    - If holder does not have researchable records for the entire lookback period, estimation of liability is expected
    - In building an estimation
      - Base period must be two oldest years that have researchable records
      - Sampling for base period UP liability absolutely permitted
      - Provide support for denominator inputs (typically revenue so 1120s/financial statements)
    - Work with vendors to discuss/agree on estimation methodology prior to commencing work

- Holder determines the entities and property types to be included in the VDA
  - Potential VDA Administrator follow-up
    - VDA Administrator is tasked with shepherding VDA to completion, but also recommending whether any scoped-out entities/property types/years should be referred to audit
    - Because the release/waiver provisions in VDA-2 are expansive, VDA Administrator needs clear distinction of what is included in release and what may be subject to referral to audit
    - Merged into entities of "in-scope" entities must be accounted for the final VDA submission
    - Recent acquisitions may impact record availability, liability calculations, and scope of the final release/waiver
    - Holder may elect to "scope-out" a property type or entity because potential liability "de minimis" – context of holder's review and decision informs recommendation on referral



THE CONTENT CONTAINED WITHIN THIS PRESENTATION DOES NOT CONSTITUTE LEGAL, FINANCIAL, ACCOUNTING, OR ANY OTHER TYPE OF ADVICE. IT IS PROVIDED SOLELY FOR EDUCATIONAL AND INFORMATIONAL PURPOSES FOR THE ATTENDEES OF THIS WEBINAR. ATTENDEES ARE URGED TO CONSULT WITH COUNSEL AND OTHER ADVISORS ABOUT THEIR PARTICULAR FACTS AND CIRCUMSTANCES.

### I received a VDA invitation - what should I do?

- SOS.VDA@delaware.gov
- VDA Program State of Delaware
- Form VDA-1
- Last date to enroll for current mailing round 11/13/2025

## Should I consult with an advocate for my VDA?

- Advocates are optional can decide to use one at any point over the VDA process
- Engaging with an advocate generally leads to a faster VDA
  - VDAs that have opened and closed since September 2020 over 65% have used an advocate
- List of potential advocates is on our website
  - Only one person per firm can be listed as advocate contact

## Who is eligible to enroll in the VDA program?

- All companies who believe they may be holding abandoned or unclaimed property are eligible to enroll in the SOS VDA program unless they:
  - Are currently under audit by the Delaware Department of Finance
  - Had previously enrolled and withdrew from the SOS VDA program
  - Had previously been removed from the SOS VDA program for failure to work in good faith

# Does scoping need to be determined before VDA begins?

- No, and attachment A on form VDA-1 can be left blank if you are not sure which entities you would like to include in the VDA
- Scoping will be finalized after the start of the VDA, and needs to be generally determined before the VDA can progress

# My Company is incorporated in Delaware, but does not have operations, vendors, or customers in Delaware. Why would I have any unclaimed property reportable to Delaware?

- All Delaware legal entities are subject to the unclaimed property laws of the State, regardless of whether it conducts business in Delaware
- Priority rules regarding unclaimed property laws were decided by the U.S. Supreme Court in Texas v. New Jersey (1965)
  - First rule state of the last known address of property owner
  - Second rule if unaddressed or foreign address, it should be remitted to state of formation



THE CONTENT CONTAINED WITHIN THIS PRESENTATION DOES NOT CONSTITUTE LEGAL, FINANCIAL, ACCOUNTING, OR ANY OTHER TYPE OF ADVICE. IT IS PROVIDED SOLELY FOR EDUCATIONAL AND INFORMATIONAL PURPOSES FOR THE ATTENDEES OF THIS WEBINAR. ATTENDEES ARE URGED TO CONSULT WITH COUNSEL AND OTHER ADVISORS ABOUT THEIR PARTICULAR FACTS AND CIRCUMSTANCES.